

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/045,722	01/14/2002	Steven N. Lu	P-10061.00	4672
27581 75	590 01/25/2005		EXAMINER	
MEDTRONIC, INC.			BOCKELMAN, MARK	
	NIC PARKWAY NE			
MS-LC340		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-5604			3762	
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Annlicentic				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/045,722	LU ET AL.				
omec Action Gummary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Mark W Bockelman	3762				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ars on the covershet with the c	orrespondenc addr ss				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versilute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timy within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 O	ctober 2004.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-7 and 15-21</u> is/are 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-14 and 22-27</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	withdrawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burear * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-14-2002.		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/045,722 Page 2

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of invention II in the reply filed on October 12, 2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that no undue burden is placed upon the examiner in the examination. This is not found persuasive because searching for a separate distinct invention doubling the number of claims to be searched creates a burden on the examiner that would require twice as much time on behalf of the examiner.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-8, 22-27 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on October 12, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 has a lack of antecedent basis for "the compressed data" at line 24. It is believed that applicant has mixed embodiments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-14, 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Riechl USPN 5,479,922. Riechl teaches a system in which analog signals are digitized, filtered in one direction to remove noise (HPF) then reverse filtered in the same filter (i.e. same characteristics) to remove phase shift. Applicant differs is filtering the analog signal first, then digitizing the filtered signal prior to reverse time filtering the output. One of ordinary skill in the art recognizes that the order in which such functions are performed in Linear time variant systems is unimportant and that digiting after filtering with an analog filter with similar properties would be a mere rearrangement of functions.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W Bockelman whose telephone number is (571) 272-4941. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:00 to 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (571) 272 -4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,722 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MWB

January 24, 2005